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Abstract
Antibody Affinity Maturation is a key process in adaptive immunity. During an Immune Response, activated B-cells give rise to Germinal Centers in follicles, special micro-environnements where they proliferate, mutate and differentiate. Moreover, they are submitted to powerful selection mechanisms to produce high affinity antibodies against the presented antigen. The purpose of the study is to build and analyse a mathematical model of the mutation-division-selection process of B-cells, aiming to understand how the different biological parameters affect the system's functionality. We are particularly interested in estimating via probabilistic methods typical time-scales to reach a specific configuration (or a set) of the traits of B-cells, as a function of the introduced mutational rule, as well as in quantifying GCs' efficienc

Modeling assumptions and objectives

## Hypotheses:

- Two amino acid classes: 0 or 1 .
- B-cells and antigen's traits: $N$-length binary strings. $\mathcal{H}_{N}:=\{0,1\}^{N}$ is the state-space.
- Mutation: define the transition probability matrix over $\mathcal{H}_{N}$
- Affinity: $N$ - Hamming distance between B-cell and antigen

Objectives:

- Evaluating the typical time-scales of the exploration of $\mathcal{H}_{N}$
- Identify and study the parameters which mostly influence the system functionality


## 1 Pure mutational models

Simple point mutations: Class switch of a randomly chosen amino acid. The resulting RW is a Simple Random Walk on the $N$-dimensional hypercube.
We denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the corresponding transition probability matrix.
Multiple point mutations: $i$ independent simple point mutations with probability $1 / k$,
for all $1 \leq i \leq k, k$ being fixed between 1 and $N$.
We denote by $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ the corresponding transition probability matrix: $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}=\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{P}^{i}$

### 1.1 Comparaison of hitting times

Let $\overline{\boldsymbol{d}}$ be the initial Hamming distance between B-cell and antigen. We determined explicit formulas to estimate the hitting time to cover this distance, as a function of the mutational rule.

| Mutational model | Hitting time |
| :--- | :--- |
| Simple point mutations | $H(\bar{d})=\sum_{d=0}^{\bar{d}-1} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N-1-d} C_{N}^{d+j}+1}{C_{N-1}^{d}} \sim 2^{N}$ for $N$ big enough |
| Multiple point mutations $\bar{T}_{N}^{(k)}(\bar{d})=\sum_{l=2}^{2^{N}} \mu_{l}^{(k)}-\frac{1}{2^{N} C_{N}^{\bar{d}}} \sum_{l=2}^{2^{N}} \mu_{l}^{(k)} R_{N}(l, \bar{d})$ |  |
| Table 1: Estimation of hitting times |  |

## 2 Mutation and division

At $t=0$ a randomly chosen node is labelled as active. At each step $t$, each active node chooses two of its neighbors, independently and with replacement, to become active at time $t+1$. We are not interested here in counting how many times a node is chosen to become active. We obtain a Branching Random Walk with coalescence on $\mathcal{H}_{N}$
2.1 Portion of $\mathcal{H}_{N}$ covered in $\mathcal{O}(N)$

Let $S_{t}$ be the active node set at time $t$. We estimate the size of $S_{T},\left|S_{T}\right|$, for $T=\mathcal{O}(N)$, and depending on the allowed mutational rule

| Model | $\left\|\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{T}}\right\|$ in $\mathbf{T}=\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{N})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| BRW-P | $\left\|S_{T}\right\| \geq 2^{N-r}, r>\frac{N^{2} e^{-2}+N-2}{N e^{-2}+N-2}$ |
| BRW- $\boldsymbol{P}^{(\boldsymbol{k})}\left\|S_{T}\right\| \geq \delta 2^{N}, \delta \leq 1 / 2$ |  |

Table 2: Portion of $\mathcal{H}_{N}$ covered in $T=\mathcal{O}(N)$
3 Mutation, division and selection with multiplicity


Figure 1: Schematic representation of the process. $r_{d}=$ death rate; $r_{d i v}=$ division rate; $r_{s}=$ selection rate.

### 3.1 Extinction probability in the GC

We denote by $\eta_{z_{0}}$ the extinction probability of the GC population, starting from $z_{0}$ naive B-cells.
Theorem:

```
- if }\mp@subsup{r}{s}{}\geq1-\frac{1}{(1-\mp@subsup{r}{d}{})(1+\mp@subsup{r}{\mathrm{ div }}{})},\mathrm{ then }\mp@subsup{\eta}{\mp@subsup{z}{0}{}}{}=
- otherwise }\mp@subsup{\eta}{\mp@subsup{z}{0}{}}{}=\mp@subsup{\eta}{}{\mp@subsup{z}{0}{}}<1\mathrm{ where }\eta<1\mathrm{ is the smaller fixed point of
```



```
    - po:= rd}+\mp@subsup{r}{s}{}(1-\mp@subsup{r}{d}{})(1-\mp@subsup{r}{div}{}+\mp@subsup{r}{div}{}\mp@subsup{r}{s}{}
    -p}:=(1-\mp@subsup{r}{d}{})(1-\mp@subsup{r}{s}{})(1-\mp@subsup{r}{div}{}+2\mp@subsup{r}{div}{}\mp@subsup{r}{s}{}
    - p}:=\mp@subsup{r}{\mathrm{ div}}{}(1-\mp@subsup{r}{d}{})(1-\mp@subsup{r}{s}{}\mp@subsup{)}{}{2
```


## $3.2 r_{s}$ maximizing the expectation of selected B-cells at time $\mathbf{t}$

Numerical simulations evidence the existence of an optimal $r_{s}$ which maximizes the expected number of selected B-cells at a given time $t$.

## Theorem:

For any time $t \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, the optimal value of $r_{s}$ wrt the expectation of selected $B$-cells $i s: r_{s}(t)=1 / t$.

## 4 Numerical Simulations

Hitting times to cover a fixed Hamming distance, referring to $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ (Section 1)


Evolution of the active set size for the BRW- $\mathcal{P}$ and BRW- $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ (Section 2)



Figure 3: Left: Evolution of the active set size comparing the BRW- $\mathcal{P}$ (blue) and the BRW- $\mathcal{P}^{(7)}$ (green) for $N=10$. Right: Average size of $\left|S_{t}\right|$ after $t=N-1, N, N+1$ time steps, comparing the 2-BRW- $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ with $k \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$.

Evolution of the selected pool and optimal $\boldsymbol{r}_{s}$ (Section 3)


Figure 4: Dependence on $r_{s}$ of the expected number of selected B-cells after 15 time steps for $N=10$. Left: Model with positive-negative selection. Right: Model with only positive selection.
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